

Computer Systems Performance Analysis and Benchmarking (37-235)

Analytic Modeling

Simulation

Measurements / Benchmarking

Lecture/Assignments/Projects:

Dr. Christian Kurmann

Textbook:

Raj Jain, "The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis", 1991 Wiley & Sons, New York

Topic of Today:

- **Self Scaling Benchmarks**
SIGMETRICS Paper 1993
by Peter M. Chen

What's wrong w. I/O benchmarks

- Become quickly obsolete
i.e. have no scaling strategy
- Do not stress the I/O system
- Do not help to understand I/O perf.
- Comparison for one workload only
i.e. don't allow conclusions about relative performance.
- Narrow application range
i.e. TPC-B is only debit/credit


Proposal

- *Self-scaling* benchmark that dynamically adjusts workload to system being measured.
- *Predicted* performance estimates the performance of unmeasured workloads based on a small set of measured WLS.
- Works now and in the future.

Ideal I/O Benchmark:

- should be I/O limited (I/O percentage)
- helps to understand the system performance
- scales over a wide range of current and future machines
- allows fair comparisons across machines
- is relevant for a wide range of applications
- is tightly specified.

Current State of the Art (1993)



Workload Model of Self-Scaling Benchmarks


- uniqueBytes
 - total working set size
- sizeMean
 - average size of I/O request
normal distribution with C.O.V of 1
- readFrac
 - percentage of reads vs. writes
- seqFrac
 - probability that next request follows the prior request (sequential access)
- processNum
 - multithreading, number of processes issuing I/O (concurrency)

How accurately can we model real workloads?

Representativeness of workload

Application	Throughput	Read Response Time	Write Response Time	Average Response Time
Sort	.20 MB/s	19.7 ms	1.6 ms	11.7 ms
Workload Model	.20 MB/s	20.0 ms	1.9 ms	11.0 ms
TPC-B	.13 MB/s	25.6 ms	1.3 ms	14.0 ms
Workload Model	.13 MB/s	22.1 ms	1.6 ms	12.3 ms

The Parameter Space



Most benchmarks report perf. for a single WL. Better are multiple WLS in a graph.

Self-scaling benchmarks produce a set of graphs for each parameter.

Terms

- Single Parameter Graphs
- Focal Point
- Focal Vector

Example

- uniqueBytes= 21 MB
- sizeMean= 10 KB
- readFrac= 0
- processNum= 1
- seqFrac= 0

Full Fractional Design

- $6^5 = 8000$ points @ 10min = 2 Months

Reduced Design

- 30 points @ 10 min = 5 hours

Basic Version of Benchmark

- Take focal point somewhere at 75% of maximal performance of each factor
- Iterative approach to find focal vector.


Problems:

- Finding focal point iteratively is slow
- Focal points at outer limits
- Focal points set arbitrarily
- Focal point in an unstable area
- Not aware of plateaus


Improved Version of Benchmark

- Aware of plateaus
multiple focal points for uniqueBytes
- Focal points midway e.g. 0.5 for [0..1]


Scaling all Parameters [Sparc1]



Plateau 1 [Sparc1]



Plateau 2 [Sparc1]



How to allow for comparisons?


Simple prediction component for any workload assumed

Big assumption


- Shape of single parameter curve does not change with focal point of other parameters.
- Simple linear interpolation
- Multiplicative linear interpolation for multiple parameters

Clean evaluation of the error in the paper.

Predicting Performance




Accuracy of Prediction [Sparc1]




(a)

Error of Prediction [Sparc1]



(b)

Parameters with error




Discussion of Machines


System Name	SPARCstation I+	DECstation 5000/200	HP 730
Year Released	1989	1990	1991
CPU	SPARC 8.3	MIPS R3000 19.9	PA-RISC 76.8
SPECmarks			HP 1350SX
Disk System	CDC When IV SCSI-I	3 disk (Wren) RAID 0 SCSI-I	Fast SCSI-II
I/O Bus	80 MB/s	100 MB/s	264 MB/s
Mem. Bus Peak Speed	28 MB	32 MB	32 MB
Memory Size	SunOS 4.1	Sprite LFS	HP/UX 8.07
Operating System			

System Name	Convex C240	Solbourne_5E/905
Year Released	1990 (???)	???
CPU	C2 (4 processors)	SPARC (??? processors)
Speed	220 MIPS	???
Disk System	4 DKD-502 RAID 5	
I/O Bus	IPI-2	4 IPI
Memory Bus Peak Speed	200 MB/s	128 MB/s ??
Memory Size	1024 MB	384 MB
Operating System	ConvexOS 10.1 (BSD derived)	SunOS 4.1A.2 (revised)


Storage Hierarchies




Plateau 1 [Sparc1]




Plateau 2 [Sparc1]



Plateau 1 [DEC 5000]



Plateau 2 [DEC 5000]




23.01.03 - 21

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann


23.01.03 - 22

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann

Plateau 3 [DEC 5000]



Plateau 1 [HP 730]




23.01.03 - 23

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann

23.01.03 - 24

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann


Plateau 2 [HP 730]



23.01.03 - 25

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann


Plateau 1 [Convex C240]



23.01.03 - 26

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann


Plateau 2 [Convex C240]



23.01.03 - 27

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann


Plateau 1 [Solbourne]



23.01.03 - 28

37-235 Perf.Eval.&Benchmarking © Stricker, Kurmann

Plateau 2 [Solbourne]



Prediction Accuracy

